

On Some Applications of Echelon Form of Matrices in Algebraic Geometry

Olasupo John Felemu^{1,*}, Patrick O. Aye², Ajijola Olawale Olanipekun³
Yoyinade Joose Aborisade⁴

^{1,2,3,4} Department of Mathematical Sciences, Adekunle Ajasin University, Akungba Akoko, Ondo State, Nigeria

[ORCID: 0000-0002-3189-3169](https://orcid.org/0000-0002-3189-3169)

Abstract

Row echelon form (REF) and reduced row echelon form (RREF) are among the most fundamental tools in linear algebra. Although they are commonly introduced as methods for solving systems of linear equations, their influence extends far beyond elementary computations. In algebraic geometry, row reduction appears naturally in the study of affine and projective varieties, determinantal varieties, tangent spaces, Gröbner bases, and rank stratifications.

In this paper, we explore how Gaussian elimination provides a geometric language for understanding these structures. We discuss the relationship between REF and linear morphisms, dimension theory, tangent spaces, and low-rank matrix varieties. We also explain how Gröbner basis theory can be viewed as a nonlinear analogue of row reduction. Throughout the paper, we emphasize intuition, geometric interpretation, and illustrative examples that connect elementary linear algebra with modern algebraic geometry.

Keywords: Row echelon form, determinantal varieties, Gröbner bases, tangent spaces, rank stratification, algebraic statistics, projective geometry.

Mathematics Subject Classification (2020): 14A10, 14M12, 14Q20, 13P10, 15A21, 14N05.

1 Introduction

Algebraic geometry is the branch of mathematics concerned with the study of solution sets of polynomial equations over a field K [17, 28, 31]. These solution sets, called algebraic

varieties, may range from simple lines and planes to highly intricate geometric structures. At the heart of this subject lies an important principle: many complicated geometric problems can be understood through linear approximations[17, 14]. Consequently, techniques from linear algebra play a central role throughout modern algebraic geometry.

One of the most fundamental tools in linear algebra is the row echelon form (REF) of a matrix[2, 21, 26]. In elementary mathematics, REF is usually introduced as a computational method for solving systems of linear equations through Gaussian elimination[2, 21]. However, its importance extends far beyond routine calculations. Row reduction reveals structural information about linear systems, including the dimension of solution spaces, linear dependence relations, kernels and images of linear maps, and rank conditions on matrices. These ideas naturally reappear in algebraic geometry, often in more sophisticated forms.

The purpose of this paper is to explore the geometric significance of row echelon form and to show how Gaussian elimination interacts with several fundamental concepts in algebraic geometry. In particular, we investigate its role in: affine and projective linear varieties, determinantal varieties, Zariski tangent spaces, Gröbner basis theory, rank stratifications, and applications in algebraic statistics.

The connection between linear algebra and geometry begins with the observation that every matrix $A \in M_{m \times n}(K)$ defines a linear morphism

$$\phi_A : \mathbb{A}^n \longrightarrow \mathbb{A}^m, \quad x \longmapsto Ax.$$

From a geometric point of view, the row echelon form of A provides a canonical description of this morphism. The pivot variables determine the image of the map, while the free variables describe the kernel and the associated degrees of freedom. Thus Gaussian elimination may be interpreted geometrically as a process that decomposes linear maps into simpler coordinate projections and inclusions.

This interpretation becomes especially important in algebraic geometry because many geometric properties are ultimately governed by linear data. For example, tangent spaces are computed from Jacobian matrices, determinantal varieties are defined through rank conditions, and Gröbner basis computations generalize the elimination procedures of linear algebra to polynomial systems. In this sense, REF serves as a conceptual bridge between elementary linear algebra and more advanced geometric theories.

Another important motivation for this work comes from computational algebraic geometry. Modern applications in algebraic statistics, optimization, coding theory, and representation theory frequently involve large systems of polynomial equations whose structure is closely tied to matrix rank conditions. Techniques derived from Gaussian elimination therefore remain fundamental both theoretically and computationally.

Historically, Gaussian elimination traces back to ancient Chinese mathematics and later became one of the foundational procedures of modern linear algebra. In algebraic geometry, related ideas evolved through the study of syzygies, elimination theory, and Gröbner bases. The modern viewpoint reveals that many geometric phenomena can be understood through canonical matrix forms and rank computations.

Throughout this paper, our emphasis is not merely on computation, but also on interpretation. Rather than viewing REF solely as an algorithmic tool, we present it as a structural mechanism that appears naturally across several areas of algebraic geometry. By doing so, we aim to illustrate how elementary techniques from linear algebra continue to provide deep insight into modern mathematical theory.

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we review the definition and geometric interpretation of row echelon form. Section 3 studies affine linear varieties and dimension theory. In Section 4, we discuss determinantal varieties and rank conditions. Section 5 examines Gröbner bases as nonlinear analogues of row reduction. Sections 6–9 explore applications to projective geometry, tangent spaces, rank stratifications, and algebraic statistics. Finally, Section 10 presents further structural observations connecting Gaussian elimination with geometric invariants.

2 Row Echelon Form

Row echelon form is one of the central concepts in linear algebra and serves as the foundation of Gaussian elimination. Beyond its computational usefulness, REF provides important structural information about linear systems and linear transformations. In algebraic geometry, this information acquires geometric meaning through dimensions, kernels, images, and coordinate descriptions of varieties.

We recall that a matrix $A \in M_{m \times n}(K)$ is said to be in **row echelon form (REF)** if it satisfies the following conditions:

1. All zero rows, if any exist, occur below all nonzero rows.
2. The first nonzero entry in each nonzero row, called a **pivot**, appears strictly to the right of the pivot in the row above it.
3. Every entry below a pivot is zero.

If, in addition,

1. every pivot is equal to 1, and
2. every pivot is the only nonzero entry in its column,

then the matrix is said to be in **reduced row echelon form (RREF)**.

These operations preserve the solution set of the corresponding linear system and therefore preserve the geometric structure of the associated affine variety.

2.1 Geometric Interpretation of REF

Although REF is often introduced as a computational device, it also possesses a natural geometric interpretation. Consider the linear map associated with a matrix A :

$$\phi_A : K^n \longrightarrow K^m, \quad x \longmapsto Ax.$$

The row reduction process reveals the essential geometric features of this map.

- The number of pivot rows equals the rank of the matrix and measures the dimension of the image of ϕ_A .
- The non-pivot variables correspond to free parameters and describe the kernel of the transformation.
- The pivot columns identify linearly independent directions that span the image space.

Thus REF decomposes a linear transformation into its independent and dependent components. In geometric language, Gaussian elimination separates constrained directions from free directions.

This observation becomes particularly important in algebraic geometry because many geometric constructions depend on understanding tangent directions, local dimensions, and rank conditions. Row reduction therefore provides a bridge between algebraic computations and geometric intuition.

2.2 REF and Dimension Theory

One of the fundamental consequences of REF is the Rank–Nullity Theorem:

$$\dim(\ker A) + \text{rank}(A) = n.$$

Geometrically, this theorem states that the dimension of the domain splits into two parts: the dimension of the image, and the dimension of the kernel.

The free variables appearing after row reduction parameterize the kernel, while the pivot variables determine the image. Hence REF directly encodes dimension-theoretic information.

For algebraic geometers, dimension is one of the most fundamental invariants of a variety. The fact that dimension can often be computed using rank conditions explains why Gaussian elimination repeatedly appears in geometric problems.

2.3 Canonical Nature of RREF

An important property of reduced row echelon form is uniqueness.

Proposition 2.1. [21]. *Every matrix is row-equivalent to a unique reduced row echelon form.*

The significance of this result is deeper than mere computational convenience. Since the RREF of a matrix is unique, it provides a canonical representative for the row-equivalence class of the matrix. Consequently, geometric information extracted from RREF does not depend on the sequence of row operations used during elimination.

This canonical nature makes RREF particularly useful in: classification problems, computation of tangent spaces, determination of linear dependencies, and the study of determinantal varieties.

Example 2.2. Consider the matrix

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & 1 \\ 2 & 4 & 0 \\ 1 & 3 & 2 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Applying Gaussian elimination gives

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & -2 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \sim \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Since every column contains a pivot, the matrix has rank 3. Therefore: $\ker(A) = \{0\}$, and the associated linear transformation is injective.

Geometrically, this means that the map $\phi_A : K^3 \rightarrow K^3$ preserves dimension locally and has no nontrivial directions collapsing to zero.

2.4 REF as a Prototype for Elimination Theory

REF may also be viewed as the linear prototype of elimination theory. In linear algebra, Gaussian elimination simplifies systems of linear equations into canonical form. In algebraic geometry, Gröbner basis theory generalizes this philosophy to polynomial systems.

In this sense, REF is not merely an isolated technique from elementary algebra; rather, it is the first manifestation of a much broader algebraic principle: the simplification of equations into structured canonical forms that reveal geometric information.

3 Affine Linear Varieties

One of the most natural connections between linear algebra and algebraic geometry arises through systems of linear equations. The solution set of such a system forms an affine linear variety, which may be viewed geometrically as a line, plane, hyperplane, or, more generally, a translated linear subspace of affine space[17, 11].

Let $A \in M_{m \times n}(K)$, and consider the linear system $Ax = b$, where $b \in K^m$. The corresponding solution set is $V = \{x \in \mathbb{A}^n : Ax = b\}$. When the system is consistent, the set V defines an affine algebraic variety cut out by linear polynomials.

3.1 Affine Varieties from Linear Systems

Each equation in the system $Ax = b$ defines a hyperplane in affine space. The variety V is therefore the intersection of finitely many hyperplanes.

Unlike nonlinear algebraic varieties, affine linear varieties possess a particularly simple geometric structure. They are completely determined by linear dependence relations among the defining equations, and these relations are revealed explicitly through row reduction.

The geometry of V depends fundamentally on the rank of the matrix A .

Theorem 3.1. [2, 21]. *If the system $Ax = b$ is consistent, then $\dim(V) = n - \text{rank}(A)$.*

This result is one of the most important links between linear algebra and geometry. The rank of the matrix measures the number of independent linear constraints imposed on the ambient affine space $\mathbb{A}^n$. Each independent equation reduces the dimension by one.

Consequently:

- larger rank corresponds to stronger geometric restrictions,
- smaller rank corresponds to greater geometric freedom.

The free variables obtained from REF become parameters for the variety, providing an explicit coordinate description of the solution space.

3.2 Geometric Meaning of Free Variables

Suppose the matrix A has rank r . After performing Gaussian elimination, exactly r variables become pivot variables, while the remaining $n - r$ variables are free.

Geometrically, the free variables correspond to directions along which movement is unrestricted inside the variety. These directions determine the dimension of the variety.

Thus Gaussian elimination does more than solve equations: it produces a parametrization of the affine variety.

For example, if there is one free variable, the solution set is a line; if there are two free variables, the solution set is a plane; and so on.

Example 3.2. Consider the system

$$\begin{aligned}x + y + z &= 0, \\2x + y - z &= 0.\end{aligned}$$

The coefficient matrix is

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 2 & 1 & -1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Applying row reduction gives

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & -1 & -3 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Since there are two pivot rows, we obtain $\text{rank}(A) = 2$. Because there are three variables, $\dim(V) = 3 - 2 = 1$. Hence the solution set is a one-dimensional affine variety, namely a line in $\mathbb{A}^3$. Solving explicitly, $y = -3z$, and $x = 2z$.

Let $z = t$, then we obtain the parametrization $(x, y, z) = (2t, -3t, t)$.

Thus the variety may be written as

$$V = \{(2t, -3t, t) : t \in K\}.$$

Example 3.2 reveals how REF simultaneously provides: the dimension of the variety, a parametrization, and a geometric description.

3.3 Affine Linear Varieties as Translates of Vector Spaces

An affine linear variety may be viewed as a translated vector subspace.

Suppose x_0 is a particular solution of the system $Ax = b$.

Then every other solution may be written as $x = x_0 + v$, where $v \in \ker(A)$. Consequently, $V = x_0 + \ker(A)$ [2, 26].

This decomposition is geometrically important because it shows that every affine linear variety is obtained by translating a vector space.

The kernel therefore determines the intrinsic geometry of the variety, while the particular solution determines its position in affine space.

3.4 Smoothness of Affine Linear Varieties

Affine linear varieties are among the simplest examples of smooth algebraic varieties.

Since the defining equations are linear, the Jacobian matrix is constant and equal to the coefficient matrix A . Therefore the tangent space at every point $p \in V$ is $T_pV = \ker(A)$ [17, 14].

In particular: the tangent space has the same dimension at every point, there are no singularities, and the variety is smooth everywhere.

This explains why linear varieties serve as local models for more complicated algebraic varieties.

Indeed, one of the central ideas in algebraic geometry is that nonlinear varieties can often be approximated locally by tangent spaces, which are themselves linear varieties obtained from Jacobian matrices.

3.5 Linear Varieties and Morphisms

Affine linear varieties also arise naturally as fibers of linear morphisms.

Given $\phi_A : \mathbb{A}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^m$, $x \mapsto Ax$, the solution set $Ax = b$ is precisely the fiber $\phi_A^{-1}(b)$.

Thus studying affine linear varieties is equivalent to studying fibers of linear maps.

Row reduction therefore becomes a method for analyzing: dimensions of fibers, surjectivity, injectivity, and geometric structure of morphisms.

This viewpoint is fundamental in modern algebraic geometry, where geometric objects are frequently studied through morphisms between varieties.

3.6 Affine Linear Varieties in Algebraic Geometry

Although affine linear varieties are elementary objects, they appear throughout algebraic geometry and its applications.

Examples include: tangent spaces of varieties, solution spaces of Jacobian systems, linear systems on projective varieties, deformation theory, and local approximations of singularities.

In computational algebraic geometry, row reduction remains one of the most efficient tools for extracting geometric information from systems of equations.

Thus affine linear varieties provide one of the clearest examples of how elementary linear algebra naturally evolves into modern geometry.

4 Determinantal Varieties and Rank Conditions

Rank conditions occupy a central position in algebraic geometry and its applications. Many geometric spaces can be described by requiring matrices to have rank bounded by a fixed integer. The resulting algebraic sets are known as determinantal varieties and form one of the most important classes of varieties in modern geometry.

Determinantal varieties appear naturally in: algebraic geometry, representation theory, tensor theory, algebraic statistics, coding theory, and singularity theory.

Their study reveals a deep interaction between linear algebra, polynomial equations, and geometry.

4.1 Rank Conditions as Polynomial Equations

Let $M_{m \times n}(K)$ denote the space of all $m \times n$ matrices over a field K .

Recall that a matrix has rank at most r if and only if all its $(r+1) \times (r+1)$ minors vanish. This observation converts a linear algebraic condition into a system of polynomial equations.

Definition 4.1. The **determinantal variety** of matrices of rank at most r is

$$X_r = \{M \in M_{m \times n}(K) : \text{rank}(M) \leq r\}.$$

Since minors are polynomial functions in the matrix entries, X_r is an algebraic variety.

Proposition 4.2. [6]. *The determinantal variety X_r is defined by the vanishing of all*

$$(r+1) \times (r+1)$$

minors of the matrix.

Thus determinantal varieties provide a direct bridge between matrix rank and algebraic geometry.

4.2 Gaussian Elimination and Membership in X_r

Gaussian elimination gives an efficient method for determining whether a matrix belongs to a determinantal variety.

Indeed, $M \in X_r$ if and only if the row echelon form of M contains at most r pivot rows.

Consequently, REF converts the geometric condition $\text{rank}(M) \leq r$ into a concrete computational criterion.

This illustrates an important principle:

row reduction detects geometric structure through rank conditions.

Example 4.3. (Matrices of Rank at Most One)

Consider the space

$$M_{2 \times 2}(K).$$

A matrix

$$M = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix}$$

has rank at most 1 precisely when

$$\det(M) = ad - bc = 0.$$

Hence the determinantal variety

$$X_1 = \{M : \text{rank}(M) \leq 1\}$$

is defined by the polynomial equation

$$ad - bc = 0.$$

Geometrically, this equation defines a quadratic hypersurface in affine 4-space.

Matrices in X_1 are precisely those whose rows (or columns) are linearly dependent.

Thus a classical condition from linear algebra becomes the defining equation of an algebraic variety.

4.3 Dimension of Determinantal Varieties

One of the remarkable features of determinantal varieties is that their dimensions can be computed explicitly.

A classical result states that

$$\dim(X_r) = r(m + n - r).$$

This formula has a natural geometric interpretation.

To specify a matrix of rank r , one must choose: an r -dimensional image space, an r -dimensional row space, and the corresponding linear transformation between them.

The dimension formula reflects the number of independent parameters required to make these choices.

From the perspective of Gaussian elimination, the rank r determines the number of pivot rows and pivot columns, while the remaining entries correspond to free parameters.

Thus REF provides an intuitive understanding of the geometry underlying the dimension formula.

4.4 Irreducibility of Determinantal Varieties

Determinantal varieties are irreducible algebraic varieties.

Geometrically, irreducibility means that the variety cannot be decomposed into two proper algebraic pieces.

This property reflects the fact that matrices of rank at most r form a unified geometric family connected through continuous deformation of matrix entries.

The irreducibility of determinantal varieties plays an important role in: deformation theory, moduli problems, and representation theory.

4.5 Singularities and Rank Drop

Determinantal varieties provide important examples of singular algebraic varieties. A fundamental result states that $\text{Sing}(X_r) = X_{r-1}$. Thus the singular points of X_r are exactly the matrices whose rank is strictly smaller than r . Geometrically, singularities occur where the rank drops unexpectedly. This phenomenon reflects a loss of local regularity in the geometry of the variety. From the viewpoint of Gaussian elimination: smooth points correspond to matrices with maximal allowed rank, singular points occur when additional pivot rows disappear. Hence REF directly detects singular behavior.

4.6 Tangent Spaces of Determinantal Varieties

The geometry of a variety near a point is governed by its tangent space. For determinantal varieties, tangent spaces admit elegant descriptions in terms of rank conditions.

Suppose $M \in X_r$ has rank exactly r . Then the tangent space at M consists of perturbations of the matrix that preserve the rank condition to first order. In practice, row reduction simplifies these computations significantly. By transforming the matrix into block echelon form, one can explicitly identify which matrix entries may vary freely and which must remain constrained.

4.7 Determinantal Varieties in Modern Mathematics

Determinantal varieties arise naturally in many advanced areas of mathematics such as:

(i) Algebraic Statistics

Low-rank matrices are used to model covariance structures and conditional independence relations.

(ii) Tensor Geometry

Higher-order analogues of rank conditions appear in tensor decomposition problems.

(iii) Representation Theory

Orbit closures of group actions often produce determinantal varieties.

(iv) Moduli Spaces

Many moduli problems are locally described by rank conditions on matrices.

(v) Computational Algebraic Geometry

Algorithms involving syzygies, Gröbner bases, and elimination frequently depend on determinantal ideals. Thus determinantal varieties form a meeting point between linear algebra, geometry, and computation.

4.8 Geometric Significance of REF

The study of determinantal varieties highlights the broader philosophical importance of row echelon form.

REF is not merely a computational tool for solving equations. Rather, it serves as a mechanism for detecting: dimension, singularity, local geometry, and algebraic structure.

In determinantal geometry, Gaussian elimination reveals how rank conditions shape the geometry of matrix spaces. This connection illustrates how elementary ideas from linear algebra naturally evolve into deep geometric theory.

5 Gröbner Bases as a Nonlinear Analogue of REF

One of the most profound developments in computational algebraic geometry is the theory of Gröbner bases. Just as row echelon form provides a systematic method for simplifying systems of linear equations, Gröbner bases provide a structured method for studying systems of polynomial equations.

In many ways, Gröbner basis theory may be viewed as a nonlinear extension of Gaussian elimination[6, 7, 19]. Both theories seek to transform complicated systems into canonical forms that reveal algebraic and geometric structure.

5.1 From Linear Systems to Polynomial Systems

In linear algebra, a system of equations

$$Ax = b$$

is simplified through elementary row operations until the coefficient matrix reaches row echelon form.

The resulting matrix reveals:

- consistency of the system,

- dimension of the solution space,
- dependence relations,
- and explicit parametrizations.

However, algebraic geometry is primarily concerned with polynomial equations rather than linear ones. A typical algebraic variety is defined by equations such as

$$f_1(x_1, \dots, x_n) = 0, \quad \dots, \quad f_r(x_1, \dots, x_n) = 0,$$

where the polynomials may be nonlinear.

Unlike linear systems, polynomial systems cannot generally be simplified using elementary row operations alone. Gröbner basis theory was developed to address this problem.

5.2 Polynomial Ideals and Varieties

Let

$$K[x_1, \dots, x_n]$$

be the polynomial ring in n variables over a field K .

Given polynomials

$$f_1, \dots, f_r,$$

the ideal they generate is

$$I = (f_1, \dots, f_r).$$

The associated algebraic variety is

$$V(I) = \{x \in \mathbb{A}^n : f(x) = 0 \text{ for all } f \in I\}.$$

Thus algebraic geometry studies geometric objects through polynomial ideals.

A central challenge is determining whether a given polynomial belongs to an ideal and understanding the geometric structure of $V(I)$. Gröbner bases provide a systematic framework for solving these problems.

5.3 Monomial Orders and Leading Terms

The theory of Gröbner bases depends on the choice of a monomial order.

A monomial order allows one to identify the leading term of a polynomial in much the same way that pivots are identified during Gaussian elimination.

For example, under lexicographic order,

$$x^2y > xy^2 > y^3.$$

The leading term captures the dominant algebraic behavior of the polynomial and plays a role analogous to pivot entries in REF.

5.4 Definition of Gröbner Basis

Definition 5.1. A finite subset

$$G = \{g_1, \dots, g_t\} \subseteq I$$

is called a **Gröbner basis** of the ideal I if the leading term of every polynomial in I is divisible by the leading term of some g_i .

Intuitively, a Gröbner basis provides a canonical generating system whose leading terms control the algebraic structure of the ideal.

This idea closely parallels the role of REF in linear algebra:

Linear Algebra	Polynomial Algebra
Row echelon form	Gröbner basis
Pivot entries	Leading terms
Gaussian elimination	Buchberger algorithm
Linear dependence	Polynomial reduction

5.5 Buchberger's Algorithm

The analogue of Gaussian elimination in polynomial algebra is Buchberger's algorithm.

This algorithm repeatedly eliminates leading terms in order to construct a Gröbner basis from an arbitrary generating set.

The process resembles row reduction:

- undesirable leading terms are eliminated,
- equations are simplified systematically,
- and the resulting system acquires canonical structure.

Buchberger's theorem guarantees that every polynomial ideal admits a Gröbner basis.

This result is foundational in computational algebraic geometry because it makes algorithmic computations possible.

5.6 Geometric Meaning of Gröbner Bases

Gröbner bases encode substantial geometric information about algebraic varieties.

They may be used to:

- determine dimension,
- compute intersections,
- study singularities,
- eliminate variables,
- and analyze parametrizations.

One of the most important applications is elimination theory.

Suppose a system depends on variables

$$x_1, \dots, x_n.$$

Using suitable monomial orders, Gröbner bases allow certain variables to be eliminated systematically, producing equations in fewer variables.

This generalizes classical elimination methods from linear algebra.

Example 5.2. (Example from Determinantal Geometry)

Consider the matrix

$$M = \begin{pmatrix} x_1 & x_2 & x_3 \\ y_1 & y_2 & y_3 \end{pmatrix}.$$

The ideal generated by its 2×2 minors is

$$I = (x_1y_2 - x_2y_1, x_1y_3 - x_3y_1, x_2y_3 - x_3y_2).$$

This ideal defines the set of matrices of rank at most 1.

Geometrically, the equations describe the locus where all rows are linearly dependent.

Under diagonal monomial orders, these minors form a Gröbner basis.

Example 5.2 illustrates the deep relationship between:

- matrix rank,
- determinantal varieties,
- and Gröbner basis theory.

The same rank conditions detected by Gaussian elimination are now encoded by polynomial ideals.

5.7 Initial Ideals and Degeneration

Associated with every Gröbner basis is an initial ideal:

$$\text{in}_{\prec}(I).$$

The initial ideal contains only monomials and therefore possesses a simpler combinatorial structure.

Geometrically, passing from I to its initial ideal corresponds to degenerating a complicated variety into a simpler one.

This process is fundamental in:

- tropical geometry,
- toric geometry,
- and computational algebraic geometry.

In this sense, Gröbner bases allow algebraic varieties to be studied through combinatorial approximations.

5.8 REF and Gröbner Bases: A Conceptual Parallel

The analogy between REF and Gröbner bases is not merely computational; it is conceptual.

Both theories:

- simplify systems into canonical forms,
- expose hidden algebraic structure,
- and provide geometric insight.

The difference lies primarily in complexity:

- REF governs linear equations,
- while Gröbner bases govern polynomial equations.

Thus Gröbner basis theory may be viewed as a vast nonlinear generalization of Gaussian elimination.

5.9 Applications in Modern Mathematics

Gröbner bases now play an essential role in several areas of mathematics and its applications, including:

- robotics,
- coding theory,
- cryptography,
- algebraic statistics,
- computational biology,
- and optimization.

Many modern computer algebra systems such as:

- MACAULAY2,
- SINGULAR,
- MAGMA,
- and SAGEMATH

implement Gröbner basis algorithms as fundamental computational tools.

This highlights the enduring importance of elimination methods originating from elementary linear algebra.

5.10 Concluding Perspective

The passage from REF to Gröbner bases reflects one of the central themes of modern mathematics:

ideas from elementary linear algebra often evolve into powerful structures in higher algebra and geometry.

Gaussian elimination provides the prototype for systematic simplification, while Gröbner basis theory extends this philosophy to nonlinear algebraic systems.

Consequently, Gröbner bases may rightly be regarded as one of the most important geometric generalizations of row echelon form.

6 Applications in Projective Geometry

Projective geometry provides one of the most natural settings for algebraic geometry. Many geometric properties that appear complicated in affine space become simpler and more symmetric when studied projectively. In particular, projective geometry eliminates exceptional phenomena such as parallel lines by introducing points at infinity.

Linear algebra plays a foundational role in projective geometry because projective subspaces are defined by homogeneous linear equations. Consequently, Gaussian elimination and row echelon form become powerful tools for studying intersections, dimensions, and incidence relations among projective varieties.

In this section, we examine how REF naturally appears in projective geometry and how rank computations encode geometric information about projective subspaces.

6.1 Projective Space and Homogeneous Coordinates

Projective n -space over a field K , denoted by

$$\mathbb{P}^n(K),$$

is defined as the collection of all one-dimensional linear subspaces of K^{n+1} .

Equivalently, points in projective space are represented by nonzero vectors

$$(x_0, x_1, \dots, x_n) \in K^{n+1},$$

where two vectors are considered equivalent whenever they differ by a nonzero scalar multiple:

$$(x_0, \dots, x_n) \sim (\lambda x_0, \dots, \lambda x_n), \quad \lambda \in K^\times.$$

The equivalence class of

$$(x_0, \dots, x_n)$$

is written as

$$[x_0 : x_1 : \dots : x_n]$$

and is called a system of homogeneous coordinates.

Projective space is particularly important in algebraic geometry because polynomial equations behave more uniformly under projective compactification. Many geometric theorems attain cleaner formulations in the projective setting.

6.2 Projective Linear Varieties

A projective linear variety is defined by homogeneous linear equations.

Suppose

$$L_1, \dots, L_r$$

are homogeneous linear forms in

$$K[x_0, \dots, x_n].$$

The corresponding projective variety is

$$V(L_1, \dots, L_r) = \{[x_0 : \dots : x_n] \in \mathbb{P}^n : L_i(x_0, \dots, x_n) = 0 \text{ for all } i\}.$$

Geometrically, these varieties represent projective subspaces such as:

- points,
- lines,
- planes,
- and hyperplanes.

The coefficients of the linear forms may be arranged into a matrix

$$A,$$

and the geometry of the projective variety is determined by the rank of this matrix.

Thus row reduction becomes a natural method for studying projective linear varieties.

6.3 Dimension of Projective Intersections

One of the fundamental questions in projective geometry concerns intersections of projective subspaces.

Suppose

$$U, W \subseteq \mathbb{P}^n$$

are projective linear subspaces.

A classical dimension formula states that

$$\dim(U \cap W) = \dim(U) + \dim(W) - n,$$

provided the defining equations are sufficiently independent.

This formula reflects the principle that dimensions decrease when independent geometric constraints are imposed.

From the viewpoint of linear algebra, the intersection problem reduces to solving a combined system of homogeneous linear equations. Gaussian elimination then determines:

- whether the intersection is empty,

- the number of independent constraints,
- and the dimension of the intersection.

Thus REF provides an effective computational method for projective intersection theory.

Example 6.1. (Example: Intersection of Two Lines in $\mathbb{P}^2$) Consider two projective lines in

$$\mathbb{P}^2$$

defined by

$$L_1 : a_0x + a_1y + a_2z = 0,$$

and

$$L_2 : b_0x + b_1y + b_2z = 0.$$

Their intersection is obtained by solving the homogeneous linear system

$$\begin{cases} a_0x + a_1y + a_2z = 0, \\ b_0x + b_1y + b_2z = 0. \end{cases}$$

The coefficient matrix is

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} a_0 & a_1 & a_2 \\ b_0 & b_1 & b_2 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Applying Gaussian elimination determines the rank of A .

If the two equations are linearly independent, then

$$\text{rank}(A) = 2,$$

and the solution space in

$$K^3$$

has dimension

$$3 - 2 = 1.$$

After passing to projective equivalence classes, this corresponds to a unique point in

$$\mathbb{P}^2.$$

Hence two distinct projective lines intersect in exactly one point.

This is one of the most fundamental differences between affine and projective geometry:

projective geometry eliminates parallelism by introducing points at infinity.

6.4 Homogeneous Systems and REF

Projective geometry naturally involves homogeneous systems of equations.

A homogeneous linear system

$$Ax = 0$$

always possesses the trivial solution. In projective geometry, however, points correspond to nonzero solutions modulo scalar multiplication.

The dimension of the associated projective variety is therefore determined by:

$$\dim(\ker A) - 1.$$

Using the Rank–Nullity Theorem,

$$\dim(\ker A) = n + 1 - \text{rank}(A),$$

we obtain

$$\dim(V) = n - \text{rank}(A).$$

Thus row echelon form directly determines the dimension of projective linear varieties.

6.5 Projective Duality and Linear Algebra

Another important feature of projective geometry is duality.

In projective space:

- points correspond to one-dimensional subspaces,
- hyperplanes correspond to codimension-one subspaces.

Linear equations therefore define geometric objects dual to points.

Matrix methods and Gaussian elimination play an important role in understanding these dual relationships because row spaces and null spaces naturally encode incidence relations between points and hyperplanes.

This connection illustrates how projective geometry is fundamentally governed by linear algebraic structures.

6.6 REF and Incidence Geometry

Many classical problems in projective geometry reduce to rank conditions.

Examples include:

- determining whether points are collinear,
- determining whether lines are concurrent,
- studying intersections of hyperplanes,
- and analyzing configurations of subspaces.

In each case, Gaussian elimination detects the linear dependencies responsible for the geometric configuration.

Thus REF serves as an algebraic mechanism for studying incidence geometry.

6.7 Projective Geometry in Modern Algebraic Geometry

Projective methods permeate modern algebraic geometry because projective varieties enjoy stronger structural properties than affine varieties.

For instance:

- projective varieties are compact in the Zariski topology,
- intersection theory behaves more naturally projectively,
- and many classification problems are formulated in projective space.

Since projective varieties are often defined or studied through homogeneous systems, row reduction remains an indispensable computational and conceptual tool.

This demonstrates once again that Gaussian elimination is not merely a technique from elementary linear algebra, but a structural method that continues to influence advanced geometry.

7 REF and Rank Stratifications

Matrices possess a natural rank stratification

$$M_{m \times n} = X_0 \subset X_1 \subset \cdots \subset X_{\min(m,n)}.$$

Gaussian elimination provides canonical representatives in each stratum. Such stratifications also appear in moduli spaces, quiver representations, and secant varieties.

8 REF in Algebraic Statistics

Determinantal varieties appear as parameter spaces for low-rank covariance matrices, Gaussian graphical models, and matrix normal models. Gaussian elimination is used to test conditional independence, compute maximum likelihood estimates, and analyze singularities of statistical models. Thus REF connects algebraic geometry and statistics.

9 New Results and Proofs

In this section we present several new results showing how row echelon form governs the geometric and algebraic structure of determinantal varieties, tangent spaces, and graded ideals. These results strengthen the theoretical connections developed in earlier sections and illustrate the broader role of REF in algebraic geometry beyond solving linear systems.

9.1 A Normal Form Theorem for Linear Morphisms

Every matrix A defines a morphism of affine varieties

$$\varphi_A : \mathbb{A}^n \longrightarrow \mathbb{A}^m, \quad x \mapsto Ax.$$

Theorem 9.1. *Let $A \in M_{m \times n}(K)$ have rank r . Then the morphism φ_A admits a canonical factorization*

$$\mathbb{A}^n \xrightarrow{\pi} \mathbb{A}^r \xrightarrow{\iota} \mathbb{A}^m,$$

where π is a projection onto the pivot variables of $\text{RREF}(A)$ and ι is an inclusion determined by the pivot columns. Moreover, this factorization is unique up to coordinate permutation.

Proof. Let A' be the RREF of A . Since row operations correspond to left multiplication by invertible matrices and column permutations correspond to coordinate change on $\mathbb{A}^n$, the map φ_A is equivalent to $\varphi_{A'}$. In RREF, exactly r pivot columns determine the image, giving a projection onto these r coordinates. The non-pivot columns contribute only free variables, producing the desired projection–inclusion factorization. Uniqueness follows from the uniqueness of RREF. $\square$

This result shows that REF describes *all* linear morphisms of affine spaces up to isomorphism.

9.2 Tangent Spaces via Row Reduction

Determinantal varieties $X_r = \{M : \text{rank}(M) \leq r\}$ are central in algebraic geometry. We give an explicit computation of their tangent spaces using REF.

Theorem 9.2. *Let $M \in X_r$ have rank exactly r , and let*

$$M = U \begin{pmatrix} I_r & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} V$$

be the row–column echelon decomposition produced by Gaussian elimination. Then the Zariski tangent space at M is

$$T_M X_r = \left\{ B : U^{-1} B V^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} * & * \\ * & 0 \end{pmatrix} \right\}.$$

In particular, B lies in the tangent space if and only if it preserves the zero lower-right $(m-r) \times (n-r)$ block of the REF of M .

Proof. A tangent vector B lies in $T_M X_r$ iff all $(r+1) \times (r+1)$ minors of $M + tB$ vanish to first order. After reducing M to REF, the minors involving the zero block impose that the lower-right block of $U^{-1} B V^{-1}$ must vanish. All remaining entries are unrestricted, yielding the stated form. $\square$

This expresses $T_M X_r$ explicitly in terms of the REF of M .

9.3 A Rank Criterion for Intersection of Linear Varieties

We extend classical projective intersection results.

Theorem 9.3. *Let $U, W \subseteq \mathbb{P}^n$ be projective linear subspaces defined by homogeneous linear equations represented by matrices A and B . Then*

$$U \cap W \neq \emptyset \iff \text{rank} \begin{pmatrix} A \\ B \end{pmatrix} < \text{rank}(A) + \text{rank}(B).$$

Proof. The intersection is nonempty iff the combined system has a nonzero solution in K^{n+1} . This occurs exactly when the REF of the block matrix $\begin{pmatrix} A \\ B \end{pmatrix}$ has fewer pivots than the sum of pivots of the two systems separately. Equivalently, a linear dependence appears among the defining equations. This proves the claim. $\square$

This general rank criterion is useful in projective intersection theory.

9.4 REF and the Hilbert Polynomial of a Linear Variety

Theorem 9.4. *Let $V = \{x \in \mathbb{A}^n : Ax = b\}$ be a nonempty affine linear variety with $\text{rank}(A) = r$. Then the Hilbert polynomial of V is*

$$P_V(t) = \binom{t+n-r}{n-r}.$$

Proof. The REF of A shows that V is isomorphic to $\mathbb{A}^{n-r}$. Hence its coordinate ring is a polynomial ring in $n-r$ variables, whose Hilbert polynomial is known to be $\binom{t+n-r}{n-r}$. $\square$

Thus REF directly determines the Hilbert polynomial.

9.5 A Gröbner Cone Controlled by REF

Theorem 9.5. *Let I_r be the ideal of $(r+1) \times (r+1)$ minors of a generic $m \times n$ matrix. Then all diagonal monomial orders yield the same initial ideal*

$$\text{in}_{\prec}(I_r),$$

and this initial ideal is generated by the diagonal terms of all $(r+1) \times (r+1)$ minors. In particular, the Gröbner region of I_r contains an open cone corresponding to row-echelon-compatible orders.

Proof. Every $(r+1) \times (r+1)$ minor has a unique diagonal term of highest degree in any diagonal order. All such choices produce the same set of leading terms, hence the same initial ideal. This corresponds exactly to the stability of diagonal minors under REF, proving the claim. $\square$

This identifies a large Gröbner region controlled by REF behavior.

9.6 A New Characterization of Smooth Points on X_r

Theorem 9.6. *A matrix $M \in X_r$ is a smooth point of X_r if and only if the REF of M contains a full $r \times r$ identity block with no zero rows among the pivot rows.*

Proof. M is smooth iff its rank is locally constant in a neighborhood, i.e., small perturbations do not reduce rank. This holds exactly when the set of pivot rows is linearly independent under row operations, which occurs precisely when the REF contains an I_r block with no degeneracy. $\square$

This gives a simple row-reduction criterion for smoothness on X_r .

10 REF and Zariski Tangent Spaces

One of the most important local tools in algebraic geometry is the notion of a tangent space. Tangent spaces provide linear approximations to algebraic varieties near a given point and serve as a bridge between nonlinear geometry and linear algebra.

In differential geometry, tangent spaces describe directions in which one may move along a smooth manifold. Algebraic geometry adopts a similar philosophy, although the construction is purely algebraic and works over arbitrary fields.

A remarkable feature of this theory is that tangent spaces are computed using matrices and systems of linear equations. Consequently, Gaussian elimination and row echelon form become fundamental tools for studying local geometric properties such as smoothness, singularities, and local dimension.

10.1 Algebraic Varieties and Jacobian Matrices

Let

$$V = V(f_1, \dots, f_r) \subseteq \mathbb{A}^n$$

be an affine algebraic variety defined by polynomial equations

$$f_1, \dots, f_r \in K[x_1, \dots, x_n].$$

Fix a point

$$p = (p_1, \dots, p_n) \in V.$$

To understand the geometry of V near p , one studies the first-order behavior of the defining polynomials. This information is encoded in the Jacobian matrix:

$$J_f(p) = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\partial f_1}{\partial x_1}(p) & \cdots & \frac{\partial f_1}{\partial x_n}(p) \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \frac{\partial f_r}{\partial x_1}(p) & \cdots & \frac{\partial f_r}{\partial x_n}(p) \end{pmatrix}.$$

The Jacobian matrix linearizes the defining equations of the variety near the point p .

Thus tangent space computations reduce to solving a linear system.

10.2 Definition of the Zariski Tangent Space

Definition 10.1. The **Zariski tangent space** of V at a point $p \in V$ is

$$T_p V = \ker(J_f(p)).$$

In other words, the tangent space consists of all vectors

$$v \in K^n$$

satisfying the linearized equations

$$J_f(p)v = 0.$$

This definition highlights the deep connection between local geometry and linear algebra:

the local structure of a nonlinear variety is governed by a linear system.

10.3 Geometric Interpretation

Geometrically, the tangent space represents the collection of infinitesimal directions along which one may move while remaining on the variety to first order.

If the variety is smooth at p , the tangent space approximates the variety locally in much the same way that a tangent plane approximates a smooth surface in classical geometry.

The dimension of the tangent space measures local geometric complexity:

- smaller tangent spaces typically correspond to regular points,
- excessively large tangent spaces indicate singular behavior.

Thus tangent spaces provide a powerful tool for detecting singularities.

10.4 REF and Tangent Space Computation

Since

$$T_pV = \ker(J_f(p)),$$

computing the tangent space becomes a problem in linear algebra.

The Jacobian matrix is reduced to row echelon form, and the free variables determine the tangent directions.

Consequently, Gaussian elimination allows one to compute:

- the dimension of the tangent space,
- explicit tangent vectors,
- and local geometric properties of the variety.

This is one of the clearest examples of how elementary linear algebra naturally enters modern algebraic geometry.

Example 10.2. (Example: A Plane Curve) Consider the affine plane curve

$$V(f) \subseteq \mathbb{A}^2$$

defined by

$$f(x, y) = y^2 - x^3.$$

The Jacobian matrix is

$$J_f(x, y) = \begin{pmatrix} -3x^2 & 2y \end{pmatrix}.$$

At the point

$$p = (0, 0),$$

we obtain

$$J_f(0, 0) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Hence

$$T_p V = K^2.$$

The tangent space has dimension 2, even though the curve itself has dimension 1.

This indicates that the origin is a singular point.

Indeed, the curve

$$y^2 = x^3$$

has a cusp at the origin.

Example 10.2 demonstrates how tangent space computations detect singularities through rank deficiency in the Jacobian matrix.

10.5 Smooth and Singular Points

A point

$$p \in V$$

is said to be smooth if the tangent space has the expected dimension.

More precisely, if

$$\dim(V) = d,$$

then p is smooth whenever

$$\dim(T_p V) = d.$$

If the tangent space is larger than expected, then p is singular.

From the viewpoint of Gaussian elimination:

- smooth points correspond to Jacobian matrices of maximal rank,
- singular points occur when pivot rows disappear unexpectedly.

Thus REF directly detects local geometric irregularities.

10.6 Tangent Spaces and Local Dimension

The tangent space also provides information about local dimension.

Suppose

$$V \subseteq \mathbb{A}^n$$

is defined by r equations.

If the Jacobian matrix has rank s at a point p , then

$$\dim(T_p V) = n - s.$$

This formula mirrors the dimension formula for affine linear varieties discussed earlier.

In fact, tangent spaces may be viewed as linear approximations of nonlinear varieties. The Jacobian matrix linearizes the defining equations, and REF extracts the resulting geometric information.

10.7 Tangent Spaces in Determinantal Geometry

Tangent spaces play an especially important role in the study of determinantal varieties.

Suppose

$$X_r = \{M : \text{rank}(M) \leq r\}$$

is a determinantal variety.

The tangent space at a matrix M consists of infinitesimal perturbations that preserve the rank condition to first order.

After transforming M into block echelon form, Gaussian elimination makes these tangent conditions considerably easier to analyze.

Thus REF serves as a practical computational tool for studying local geometry in matrix varieties.

10.8 Jacobian Criterion for Smoothness

One of the fundamental results in algebraic geometry is the Jacobian Criterion.

Roughly speaking, a variety is smooth at a point whenever the Jacobian matrix has maximal possible rank there.

This criterion reduces geometric smoothness to a rank computation.

Consequently:

Gaussian elimination becomes a method for detecting smoothness geometrically.

This connection is one of the strongest manifestations of the relationship between linear algebra and algebraic geometry.

10.9 Broader Significance

The theory of tangent spaces lies at the foundation of several advanced areas of mathematics, including:

- deformation theory,
- moduli theory,
- singularity theory,
- intersection theory,
- and algebraic differential geometry.

In all these areas, local geometric behavior is governed by linearized equations and rank computations.

Thus the study of tangent spaces reinforces a central theme of this paper:

row echelon form is not merely computational; it is a structural tool for understanding geometry.

Summary of New Results

These results show that REF plays a structural role in:

- factorization of linear morphisms of varieties,
- computation of tangent spaces of determinantal varieties,
- projective intersection theory,
- Hilbert polynomial calculations,
- Gröbner regions of determinantal ideals,
- smoothness criteria on rank varieties.

They extend the classical uses of REF into deeper areas of algebraic geometry.

11 Conclusion

Row echelon form is often introduced in elementary linear algebra as a computational technique for solving systems of linear equations. However, as this paper has demonstrated, its significance extends far beyond routine matrix manipulation. Gaussian elimination provides a powerful structural framework that appears naturally throughout algebraic geometry and related areas of mathematics.

Beginning with affine linear varieties, we saw how REF determines dimensions, parametrizations, kernels, and images of linear maps. Through determinantal varieties, rank conditions became geometric objects defined by polynomial equations, revealing deep interactions between linear algebra and algebraic geometry. The discussion of Gröbner bases further illustrated how the philosophy of elimination extends from linear systems to nonlinear polynomial systems, making REF a prototype for more advanced computational methods.

In projective geometry, row reduction provided effective tools for studying intersections of projective subspaces and understanding homogeneous systems of equations. The theory of Zariski tangent spaces then showed how local geometric behavior is governed by Jacobian matrices and linear approximations, once again placing Gaussian elimination at the center of geometric analysis.

One of the central themes of this work is that many sophisticated geometric phenomena are ultimately controlled by linear structures. Tangent spaces, singularities, dimensions, and rank conditions all depend fundamentally on solving linear systems and understanding matrix behavior. In this sense, REF serves not only as a computational algorithm, but also as a geometric language through which deeper algebraic structures may be understood.

The paper also highlights the enduring importance of computational methods in modern mathematics. Techniques derived from Gaussian elimination continue to play essential roles in computational algebraic geometry, algebraic statistics, optimization, coding theory, and representation theory. Even highly abstract geometric theories frequently rely on rank computations and canonical matrix forms at both local and global levels.

More broadly, this work illustrates an important mathematical principle: elementary ideas often evolve into foundational tools in advanced theory. Concepts first encountered in introductory linear algebra reappear in sophisticated geometric settings, demonstrating the unity and interconnectedness of mathematics.

Several directions remain open for further exploration. Future work may investigate deeper connections between row reduction and:

- tensor rank and secant varieties,
- representation theory and quiver varieties,
- tropical and toric geometry,
- computational singularity theory,
- and applications in algebraic statistics and machine learning.

Ultimately, the geometric interpretation of row echelon form provides a valuable perspective on both linear algebra and algebraic geometry. By viewing Gaussian elimination not merely as an algorithm, but as a structural and geometric process, one gains a clearer understanding of how classical linear methods continue to shape modern mathematical research.

References

- [1] W. W. Adams and P. Loustau, *An Introduction to Gröbner Bases*, American Mathematical Society, Graduate Studies in Mathematics 3, 1994.
- [2] S. Axler, *Linear Algebra Done Right*, 3rd Edition, Springer, 2015.
- [3] T. Becker and V. Weispfenning, *Gröbner Bases: A Computational Approach to Commutative Algebra*, Springer, 1993.
- [4] A. Borel, *Linear Algebraic Groups*, 2nd Edition, Springer, Graduate Texts in Mathematics 126, 1991.
- [5] W. Bruns and U. Vetter, *Determinantal Rings*, Springer Lecture Notes in Mathematics 1327, 1988.
- [6] B. Buchberger, *Gröbner Bases: An Algorithmic Method in Polynomial Ideal Theory*, PhD thesis, University of Innsbruck, 1965.
- [7] D. Cox, J. Little, and D. O'Shea, *Ideals, Varieties, and Algorithms*, 4th Edition, Springer, 2015.
- [8] D. Eisenbud, *Commutative Algebra with a View Toward Algebraic Geometry*, Springer, Graduate Texts in Mathematics 150, 1995.
- [9] W. Fulton, *Algebraic Curves: An Introduction to Algebraic Geometry*, Advanced Book Classics, Addison-Wesley, 1989.
- [10] W. Fulton, *Intersection Theory*, 2nd Edition, Springer, 1998.
- [11] A. Gathmann, *Algebraic Geometry Lecture Notes*, University of Kaiserslautern, available online.
- [12] U. Görtz and T. Wedhorn, *Algebraic Geometry I: Schemes with Examples and Exercises*, Springer, 2010.
- [13] G.-M. Greuel and G. Pfister, *A Singular Introduction to Commutative Algebra*, 2nd Edition, Springer, 2007.
- [14] P. Griffiths and J. Harris, *Principles of Algebraic Geometry*, Wiley-Interscience, 1978.
- [15] J. Harris, *Algebraic Geometry: A First Course*, Springer, Graduate Texts in Mathematics 133, 1992.
- [16] J. Harris and L. Tu, *On Symmetric and Skew-Symmetric Determinantal Varieties*, Topology, Vol. 23, No. 1, 1984, pp. 71–84.
- [17] R. Hartshorne, *Algebraic Geometry*, Springer, Graduate Texts in Mathematics 52, 1977.
- [18] J. Kollár, *Lectures on Resolution of Singularities*, Princeton University Press, 2007.
- [19] M. Kreuzer and L. Robbiano, *Computational Commutative Algebra 1*, Springer, 2000.
- [20] J. M. Landsberg, *Tensors: Geometry and Applications*, American Mathematical Society, Graduate Studies in Mathematics 128, 2012.
- [21] S. Lang, *Linear Algebra*, Undergraduate Texts in Mathematics, Springer, 1987.

- [22] J. S. Milne, *Algebraic Geometry*, online lecture notes, available at www.jmilne.org.
- [23] E. Miller and B. Sturmfels, *Combinatorial Commutative Algebra*, Springer, Graduate Texts in Mathematics 227, 2005.
- [24] T. Mora, *Solving Polynomial Equation Systems I: The Kronecker-Duval Philosophy*, Cambridge University Press, 2003.
- [25] D. Mumford, *The Red Book of Varieties and Schemes*, Springer Lecture Notes in Mathematics 1358, 1999.
- [26] S. Roman, *Advanced Linear Algebra*, 3rd Edition, Springer, Graduate Texts in Mathematics 135, 2008.
- [27] I. R. Shafarevich, *Basic Algebraic Geometry I*, 3rd Edition, Springer, 2013.
- [28] I. R. Shafarevich, *Basic Algebraic Geometry II*, 3rd Edition, Springer, 2013.
- [29] B. Sturmfels, *Algorithms in Invariant Theory*, 2nd Edition, Springer, 2008.
- [30] B. Sturmfels, *Algebraic Statistics for Computational Biology*, Cambridge University Press, 2005.
- [31] R. Vakil, *The Rising Sea: Foundations of Algebraic Geometry*, Available online, 2022.
- [32] J. Weyman, *Cohomology of Vector Bundles and Syzygies*, Cambridge University Press, 2003.