

# On 3-Prime $\Gamma$ - Near Rings with Generalized Derivations

L.Madhuchelvi

## Abstract

Let  $N$  be a 3-prime  $\Gamma$ - near ring. The purpose of this paper is to extend the results of Ashraf[1] and Ashraf and Rehman[2] in the setting of a semigroup ideal of  $N$  admitting a generalized derivation.

**Keywords:** 3-Prime  $\Gamma$ - near ring, Semigroup ideal, Generalized derivation.

**2000 Mathematics subject classification :** 16Y30, 16Y99.

## 1 Introduction

The notion of a  $\Gamma$ - ring, a concept more general than a ring was defined by Nobusawa[9]. As a generalization of near-rings,  $\Gamma$ -near rings were introduced by Satyanarayana[11]. Recently Booth and Groenewald [4,5], Satyanarayana[12,13], Selvaraj and George[14,16], Selvaraj and Madhuchelvi[15,17] studied several aspects in  $\Gamma$ - near rings. In the case of rings, generalized derivations have received significant attention in recent years. The derivations in  $\Gamma$ - near rings have been introduced by Bell and Mason[3]. They studied basic properties of derivations in  $\Gamma$ - near rings. In [1], Ashraf et.al. investigates the commutativity of a prime ring admitting a generalized derivation with associated derivation satisfying certain properties. Daif and Bell[6] proved that a semiprime ring  $R$  must be commutative if it admits a derivation  $d$  such that  $d([x, y]) = [x, y]$  or  $d([x, y]) + [x, y] = 0$ . Further Ashraf and Rehman[2] extended the mention result for Lie ideals of  $R$ .

Motivated by the above, in this paper, we extend M.Ashraf's[1] results to a semigroup ideal of a 3-prime  $\Gamma$ - near ring and generalize the results of Ashraf and Rehman[2] for generalized derivation and semigroup ideals of a 3-prime  $\Gamma$ - near ring.

## 2 Preliminaries

Throughout this paper  $N$  stands for a zero symmetric right  $\Gamma$ - near ring. In this section we collect all basic concepts and results in  $\Gamma$ - near rings mostly from Booth[4] and Satyanarayana[11] which are required for our study.

**Definition 2.1** A  $\Gamma$  - *near ring* is a triple  $(N, +, \Gamma)$  , where

- (i)  $(N, +)$  is a (not necessarily abelian) group;

(ii)  $\Gamma$  is a non-empty set of binary operations on  $N$  such that for each  $\gamma \in \Gamma$ ,  $(N, +, \gamma)$  is a right near -ring and;

(iii)  $(x\gamma y)\mu z = x\gamma(y\mu z)$  for all  $x, y, z \in N$  and  $\gamma, \mu \in \Gamma$ .

$\Gamma$ -near rings generalize near-rings in the sense that every near-ring  $N$  is a  $\Gamma$ -near ring with  $\Gamma = \{\cdot\}$  where  $\cdot$  is the multiplication defined on  $N$ .

**Example 2.2** Let  $N = \mathbb{Z}_6$  with  $\Gamma = \{\gamma_1, \gamma_2\}$  where  $\gamma_1, \gamma_2$  are given by the Schemes 1:  $(0, 1, 0, 0, 0, 0)$  and 2:  $(0, 0, 1, 0, 0, 0)$  ( see p.409, Pilz[10])

$\gamma_1$	0	1	2	3	4	5
0	0	0	0	0	0	0
1	0	1	0	0	0	0
2	0	2	0	0	0	0
3	0	3	0	0	0	0
4	0	4	0	0	0	0
5	0	5	0	0	0	0

$\gamma_2$	0	1	2	3	4	5
0	0	0	0	0	0	0
1	0	0	1	0	0	0
2	0	0	2	0	0	0
3	0	0	3	0	0	0
4	0	0	4	0	0	0
5	0	0	5	0	0	0

Then  $N$  is a  $\Gamma$ -near ring.

**Definition 2.3** Let  $N$  be a zero symmetric right  $\Gamma$ -near ring. An additive mapping  $d : N \rightarrow N$  is said to be a **derivation** on  $N$  if  $d(x\alpha y) = x\alpha d(y) + d(x)\alpha y$  for all  $x, y \in N, \alpha \in \Gamma$ .

**Definition 2.4** For all  $x, y \in N$  and  $\alpha \in \Gamma$

$$[x, y]_\alpha = x\alpha y - y\alpha x$$

$$(x \circ y)_\alpha = x\alpha y + y\alpha x$$

$$(x, y) = x + y - x - y$$

**Definition 2.5** Let  $N$  be a zero symmetric right  $\Gamma$ -near ring. An additive mapping  $f : N \rightarrow N$  is said to be a **right generalized derivation (resp. left generalized derivation)** on  $N$  if there exists a derivation  $d$  of  $N$  such that  $f(x\alpha y) = f(x)\alpha y + x\alpha d(y)$  ( $f(x\alpha y) = x\alpha f(y) + d(x)\alpha y$ ) for all  $x, y \in N, \alpha \in \Gamma$ . An additive mapping  $f : N \rightarrow N$  is said to be a **generalized derivation** if it is both right and left generalized derivation.

**Definition 2.6** A nonempty subset  $U$  of  $N$  is called a **semigroup right ideal (resp. semigroup left ideal)** if  $U\Gamma N \subset U$  ( $NU\Gamma \subset U$ ).  $U$  is called **semigroup ideal** if it is both right and left semigroup ideal.

**Definition 2.7** A  $\Gamma$ -near ring  $N$  is called **3-prime** if  $a\Gamma N\Gamma b = 0 \Rightarrow a = 0$  or  $b = 0$  for all  $a, b \in N$ .

**Definition 2.8** A  $\Gamma$ -near ring  $N$  is called **2-torsion-free** if  $(N, +)$  has no elements of order 2.

**Definition 2.9** An element  $x$  of a  $\Gamma$ -near ring  $N$  is called **distributive** if  $x\alpha(a+b) = x\alpha a + x\alpha b$  for all  $a, b \in N$  and  $\alpha \in \Gamma$ . If all the elements of a  $\Gamma$ -near ring  $N$  are distributive, then  $N$  is said to be a **distributive  $\Gamma$ -near ring**.

**Definition 2.10** For all  $x, y, z \in N$  and  $\alpha, \beta \in \Gamma$ ,  
 $[x\beta y, z]_\alpha = x\beta [y, z]_\alpha + [x, z]_\alpha \beta y + x\beta z\alpha y - x\alpha z\beta y$ ,  
 $[x, y\beta z]_\alpha = y\beta [x, z]_\alpha + [x, y]_\alpha \beta z + y\alpha x\beta z - y\beta x\alpha z$ ,  
 $(x\beta y \circ z)_\alpha = x\beta (y \circ z)_\alpha - [x, z]_\alpha \beta y + x\beta z\alpha y - x\alpha z\beta y = (x \circ z)_\alpha \beta y + x\beta [y, z]_\alpha + x\alpha z\beta y - x\beta z\alpha y$  and  
 $(x \circ y\beta z)_\alpha = (x \circ y)_\alpha \beta z - y\beta [x, z]_\alpha + y\alpha x\beta z - y\beta x\alpha z = y\beta (x \circ z)_\alpha + [x, y]_\alpha \beta z + y\beta x\alpha z - y\alpha x\beta z$ .

### 3 Generalized Derivations of 3-Prime $\Gamma$ -Near Rings

Throughout this section, a  $\Gamma$ -near ring  $N$  is satisfying the assumption (\*):  $a\alpha b\beta c = a\beta b\alpha c$  for all  $a, b, c \in N, \alpha, \beta \in \Gamma$ .

**Lemma 3.1** If  $d \neq 0$  is a derivation on a 2-torsion free  $\Gamma$ -near ring  $N$  and  $U$  a semigroup ideal of  $N$  such that  $U + U \subset U$  and  $d(U) = 0$ , then  $U \subset Z$ .

**Proof.** Let  $u \in U, x \in N$ . By the hypothesis and by [7, Theorem],  $d([u, x]_\alpha) = 0 \Rightarrow u \in Z$ . Thus  $U \subset Z$ . ■

**Theorem 3.2** Let  $N$  be a 2-torsion free 3-prime distributive  $\Gamma$ -near ring satisfying (\*),  $U$  a semigroup ideal such that  $U + U \subset U$  and  $f$  a generalized derivation associated with  $d \neq 0$ . If  $(f(y) \circ d(x))_\alpha = 0$  for all  $x, y \in U$  and  $\alpha \in \Gamma$ , then  $U \subseteq Z$ .

**Proof.** Given that  $(f(y) \circ d(x))_\alpha = 0$  for all  $x, y \in U$  and  $\alpha \in \Gamma$   
 Replace  $y$  by  $y\beta z$  and using (\*), we get

$$-[y, d(x)]_\alpha \beta f(z) + d(y) \beta (z \circ d(x))_\alpha - [d(y), d(x)]_\alpha \beta z = 0 \text{ for } x, y \in U, z \in N, \alpha, \beta \in \Gamma.$$

Replace  $y$  by  $d(x)$  for any  $x \in V$  where  $V = \{u \in U | d(u) \in U\}$ , we get

$$d^2(x) \beta (z \circ d(x))_\alpha - [d^2(x), d(x)]_\alpha \beta z = 0 \tag{1}$$

Replace  $z$  by  $z\gamma y$  in (1) and using (1), we get

$$d^2(x) \beta z\gamma [y, d(x)]_\alpha = 0 \text{ for } x, y \in U, z \in N, \alpha, \beta, \gamma \in \Gamma$$

Hence  $d^2(x) \beta N\gamma [y, d(x)]_\alpha = 0$ . This implies that either  $[y, d(x)]_\alpha = 0$  or  $d^2(x) = 0$ . If  $[y, d(x)]_\alpha = 0$  then  $d(x)\alpha y = y\alpha d(x)$  for  $x, y \in U$ . This implies that  $U \subseteq Z$ . If  $d^2(x) = 0$  then  $d(d(U)) = 0 \Rightarrow d(U) = 0$  since  $d \neq 0$ . By Lemma 3.1,  $U \subseteq Z$ . ■

**Theorem 3.3** Let  $N$  be a 2-torsion free 3-prime distributive  $\Gamma$ -near ring satisfying (\*),  $U$  a semigroup ideal such that  $U+U \subset U$  and  $f$  a generalized derivation associated with  $d \neq 0$ . If  $[d(x), f(y)]_\alpha = 0$  for all  $x, y \in U$  and  $\alpha \in \Gamma$ , then  $U \subseteq Z$ .

**Proof.** By hypothesis we have  $[d(x), f(y)]_\alpha = 0$  for all  $x, y \in U$  and  $\alpha \in \Gamma$ . Replace  $y$  by  $y\beta z$  and using (\*), we get

$$f(y)\beta[d(x), z]_\alpha + y\beta[d(x), d(z)]_\alpha + [d(x), y]_\alpha\beta d(z) = 0 \quad (2)$$

for all  $x, y \in U, z \in N, \alpha, \beta \in \Gamma$ . Replace  $z$  by  $z\gamma d(x)$  in (2) and using (2) we get

$$y\beta z\gamma[d(x), d^2(x)]_\alpha + y\beta[d(x), z]_\alpha\gamma d^2(x) + [d(x), y]_\alpha\beta z\gamma d^2(x) = 0 \quad (3)$$

Replace  $y$  by  $t\delta y$  in (3) and using (3) we get

$$[d(x), t]_\alpha\delta y\beta z\gamma d^2(x) = 0 \text{ for all } x, y \in U, z, t \in N, \alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta \in \Gamma.$$

This implies that

$$[d(x), t]_\alpha\delta U\gamma d^2(x) = 0$$

Thus either  $[d(x), t]_\alpha = 0$  or  $d^2(x) = 0$

By using the same technique as in the above theorem, we get the required result. ■

**Theorem 3.4** Let  $N$  be a 2-torsion free 3-prime distributive  $\Gamma$ -near ring satisfying (\*),  $U$  a semigroup ideal such that  $U+U \subset U$  and  $f$  a generalized derivation associated with  $d \neq 0$ . If  $(d(x) \circ f(y))_\alpha = (x \circ y)_\alpha$  for all  $x, y \in U$  and  $\alpha \in \Gamma$ , then  $U \subseteq Z$ .

**Proof.** Given that

$$(d(x) \circ f(y))_\alpha = (x \circ y)_\alpha \text{ for all } x, y \in U \text{ and } \alpha \in \Gamma. \quad (4)$$

If  $f = 0$ , then  $(x \circ y)_\alpha = 0$  for all  $x, y \in U, \alpha \in \Gamma$ . Replace  $y$  by  $y\beta z$ , we get  $y\beta[x, z]_\alpha = 0$  for all  $x, y \in U, z \in N, \alpha, \beta \in \Gamma$ . In particular  $[x, z]_\alpha\gamma y\beta[x, z]_\alpha = 0 \Rightarrow [x, z]_\alpha\gamma U\beta[x, z]_\alpha = 0$ . This implies that  $[x, z]_\alpha = 0$  for all  $x \in U, z \in N, \alpha \in \Gamma$ . Thus  $U \subseteq Z$ .

Now we assume that  $f \neq 0$ . Replace  $y$  by  $y\beta z$  in (4) and using (4), we get

$$(d(x) \circ y)_\alpha\beta d(z) - f(y)\beta[d(x), z]_\alpha - y\beta[d(x), d(z)]_\alpha + y\beta[x, z]_\alpha = 0 \quad (5)$$

for all  $x, y \in U, z \in N, \alpha, \beta \in \Gamma$ . Replace  $z$  by  $d(x)$  in (5), we get

$$(d(x) \circ y)_\alpha\beta d^2(x) - y\beta[d(x), d^2(x)]_\alpha + y\beta[x, d(x)]_\alpha = 0 \quad (6)$$

Replace  $y$  by  $z\gamma y$  for all  $y, z \in U$  in (6) and using (6) we get

$$[d(x), z]_\alpha\gamma y\beta d^2(x) = 0 \Rightarrow [d(x), z]_\alpha\gamma U\beta d^2(x) = 0$$

This implies that either  $[d(x), z]_\alpha = 0$  or  $d^2(x) = 0$ . By using similar argument as in the Theorem 3.2,  $U \subseteq Z$ . ■

By using similar techniques, we also prove the following theorem.

**Theorem 3.5** Let  $N$  be a 2-torsion free 3-prime distributive  $\Gamma$ -near ring satisfying (\*),  $U$  a semigroup ideal such that  $U+U \subset U$  and  $f$  a generalized derivation associated with  $d \neq 0$ . If  $(d(x) \circ f(y))_\alpha + (x \circ y)_\alpha = 0$  for all  $x, y \in U$  and  $\alpha \in \Gamma$ , then  $U \subseteq Z$ .

**Theorem 3.6** Let  $N$  be a 2-torsion free 3-prime distributive  $\Gamma$ -near ring satisfying (\*),  $U$  a semigroup ideal such that  $U+U \subset U$  and  $f$  a non zero generalized derivation associated with  $d \neq 0$ . If  $[d(x), f(y)]_\alpha = [x, y]_\alpha$  for all  $x, y \in U$  and  $\alpha \in \Gamma$ , then  $U \subseteq Z$ .

**Proof.** Given that

$$[d(x), f(y)]_\alpha = [x, y]_\alpha \quad \text{for all } x, y \in U \quad \text{and } \alpha \in \Gamma. \quad (7)$$

Replace  $y$  by  $y\beta z$  in (7) and using (7), we get

$$f(y)\beta[d(x), z]_\alpha + y\beta[d(x), d(z)]_\alpha + [d(x), y]_\alpha\beta d(z) - y\beta[x, z]_\alpha = 0 \quad (8)$$

for all  $x, y, z \in U, \alpha, \beta \in \Gamma$ .

Replace  $z$  by  $z\gamma d(x)$  in (8) and using (8), we get

$$y\beta z\gamma[d(x), d^2(x)]_\alpha + y\beta[d(x), z]_\alpha\gamma d^2(x) + [d(x), y]_\alpha\beta z\gamma d^2(x) - y\beta z\gamma[x, d(x)]_\alpha = 0 \quad (9)$$

for all  $x, y, z \in U, \alpha, \beta, \gamma \in \Gamma$

Replace  $y$  by  $t\delta y$  for all  $y, t \in U, \delta \in \Gamma$  in (9) and using (9) we get

$$[d(x), t]_\alpha\delta y\beta z\gamma d^2(x) = 0 \Rightarrow [d(x), t]_\alpha\delta U\gamma d^2(x) = 0$$

for all  $x, y, z, t \in U, \alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta \in \Gamma$  This implies that either  $[d(x), z]_\alpha = 0$  or  $d^2(x) = 0$ . By using similar argument as in the proof of the above Theorems,  $U \subseteq Z$ . ■

We also prove the following theorem as in Theorem 3.6 with necessary variations.

**Theorem 3.7** Let  $N$  be a 2-torsion free 3-prime distributive  $\Gamma$ -near ring satisfying (\*),  $U$  a semigroup ideal such that  $U+U \subset U$  and  $f$  a non zero generalized derivation associated with  $d \neq 0$ . If  $[d(x), f(y)]_\alpha = -[x, y]_\alpha$  for all  $x, y \in U$  and  $\alpha \in \Gamma$ , then  $U \subseteq Z$ .

**Theorem 3.8** Let  $N$  be a 2-torsion free 3-prime distributive  $\Gamma$ -near ring satisfying (\*),  $U$  a semigroup ideal such that  $U+U \subset U$  and  $f$  a non zero generalized derivation associated with  $d \neq 0$ . If  $f([u, v]_\alpha) = (u \circ v)_\alpha$  for all  $u, v \in U$  and  $\alpha \in \Gamma$ , then  $U \subseteq Z$ .

**Proof.** For all  $u, v \in U, \alpha \in \Gamma$ , we have

$$f([u, v]_\alpha) = [x, y]_\alpha \Rightarrow f(u)\alpha v + u\alpha d(v) - f(v)\alpha u - v\alpha d(u) = u\alpha v + v\alpha u \quad (10)$$

Replace  $v$  by  $v\beta u$  in (10) and using (10), we get

$$[u, v]_\alpha\beta d(u) = 0 \quad (11)$$

for all  $u, v \in U, \alpha, \beta \in \Gamma$ .

Replace  $v$  by  $w\gamma v$  in (11) and using (11), we get

$$[u, w]_{\alpha} \gamma v \beta d(u) = 0 \Rightarrow [u, w]_{\alpha} \gamma U \beta d(u) = 0$$

for all  $u, v \in U, w \in N, \alpha, \beta, \gamma \in \Gamma$

By [8, Lemma 3.3],  $[u, w]_{\alpha} = 0$  or  $d(u) = 0$  for all  $u \in U, w \in N, \alpha \in \Gamma$

If  $[u, w]_{\alpha} = 0$  then  $U \subseteq Z$ . On the other hand, if  $d(u) = 0$  for all  $u \in U$ , by Lemma 3.1,  $U \subseteq Z$ . ■

Using the same technique with necessary variation we get the following

**Theorem 3.9** *Let  $N$  be a 2-torsion free 3-prime distributive  $\Gamma$ -near ring satisfying (\*),  $U$  a semigroup ideal such that  $U + U \subset U$  and  $f$  a non zero generalized derivation associated with  $d \neq 0$ . If  $f([u, v]_{\alpha}) + (u \circ v)_{\alpha} = 0$  for all  $u, v \in U$  and  $\alpha \in \Gamma$ , then  $U \subseteq Z$ .*

**Theorem 3.10** *Let  $N$  be a 2-torsion free 3-prime distributive  $\Gamma$ -near ring satisfying (\*),  $U$  a semigroup ideal such that  $U + U \subset U$  and  $f$  a non zero generalized derivation associated with  $d \neq 0$ . If  $f((u \circ v)_{\alpha}) = [u, v]_{\alpha}$  for all  $u, v \in U$  and  $\alpha \in \Gamma$ , then  $U \subseteq Z$ .*

**Proof.** For all  $u, v \in U, \alpha \in \Gamma$ , we have

$$f((u \circ v)_{\alpha}) = [u, v]_{\alpha} \tag{12}$$

Replace  $v$  by  $v\beta u$  in (12) and using (12), we get

$$f((u \circ v\beta u)_{\alpha}) = [u, v\beta u]_{\alpha} \Rightarrow (u \circ v)_{\alpha} \beta d(u) = 0 \tag{13}$$

for all  $u, v \in U, \alpha, \beta \in \Gamma$ .

Replace  $v$  by  $w\gamma v$  in (13) and using (13), we get

$$[u, w]_{\alpha} \gamma v \beta d(u) = 0 \Rightarrow [u, w]_{\alpha} \gamma U \beta d(u) = 0$$

for all  $u, v \in U, w \in N, \alpha, \beta, \gamma \in \Gamma$ .

By using the same argument as in Theorem 3.8, we get the required result. ■

We also prove the following theorem by using the same technique with necessary variations.

**Theorem 3.11** *Let  $N$  be a 2-torsion free 3-prime distributive  $\Gamma$ -near ring satisfying (\*),  $U$  a semigroup ideal such that  $U + U \subset U$  and  $f$  a non zero generalized derivation associated with  $d \neq 0$ . If  $f((u \circ v)_{\alpha}) + [u, v]_{\alpha} = 0$  for all  $u, v \in U$  and  $\alpha \in \Gamma$ , then  $U \subseteq Z$ .*

## References

- [1] M.Ashraf,A. Ali, and R.Rani, On generalized derivations of prime rings,*Southeast Asian Bulletin of Mathematics* vol.29, no.4, (2005),669-675.
- [2] Ashraf M, Rehaman N, On commutativity of rings with derivations[J].*Results in Math* 42 (2002),3-8.
- [3] H.E.Bell and G.Mason, On derivations in near-rings, *Near-rings and Near-fields[Tiibingen,1985] of North-Holland Mathematics Studies, North-Holland,Amsterdam, The Netherlands* 137 (1987), 31-35.
- [4] G.L.Booth , A note on Gamma near-rings, *Stud Sci.Math. Hungarica* 23 (1988), 471-475.
- [5] G.L.Booth and N.J.Groenewald, Equiprime  $\Gamma$ -near rings, *Quaestiones Mathematicae* 14 (1991), 411 - 417.
- [6] Daif M N.,Bell H.E.,Remarks on derivations on semiprime rings [J].*Internat.J.Math.Sci.* 15(1) (1992), 205-206.
- [7] I.N.Herstein, A note on derivations II,*Canad. Math. Bull.* Vol.22 (4),(1979).
- [8] L.Madhuchelvi, On generalized derivations in 3-prime  $\Gamma$ - near rings,*Int. J. of Mathematical Sciences and Applications* Vol.6, No.2,(December 2016),901-908.
- [9] N.Nobusawa, On a generalization of the ring theory, *Osaka Journal Math.*1(1964), 81-89.
- [10] G.Pilz, *Near-Rings*, North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1983.
- [11] Satyanarayana Bhavanari, A note on  $\Gamma$ -rings, *Proc.Japan Acad.Ser.A Math. Sci* 59(8) (1983), 382-383.
- [12] Satyanarayana Bhavanari, *Contributions to near-rings*, Doctoral Thesis, Nagarjuna University, 1984.
- [13] Satyanarayana Bhavanari, A note on  $\Gamma$ -near rings, *Indian J. Math.* 41(3) (1999), 427-433.
- [14] C.Selvaraj and R.George, Strongly prime  $\Gamma$ -near rings, *Tamkang Journal of Mathematics* 39(1) (2008), 33-43.
- [15] C.Selvaraj and L.Madhuchelvi, On Uniformly Strongly prime  $\Gamma$ -near rings, *Far East Journal of Mathematical Sciences* 31(2) (2008), 237-255.
- [16] C.Selvaraj, R.George and G.L.Booth, On strongly equiprime  $\Gamma$ -near rings, *Bull. Institute of Math. Academia Sinica* 4 (1)(2009), 35-46.
- [17] C.Selvaraj and L.Madhuchelvi, On Semiuniformly Strongly prime  $\Gamma$ -near rings, *Southeast Asian Bulletin of Mathematics* 35 (2011), 5-17.

Department of Mathematics, Sri Sarada College for Women, Salem-636016, Tamilnadu, India.

The author has obtained support from University Grants Commission No.F MRP-5577/15(SERO/UGC)