

## Generalized $*$ - Higher Derivation on Prime $*$ - Rings

*Dr. D. Bharathi, P. Ravi,*

Associative Professor, Department of Mathematics, S.V. University Tirupati – A. P  
E-Mail : bharathikavali@yahoo.co.in  
Research Scholar, Department of Mathematics, S. V. University Tirupati – A. P.  
E-Mail : rv1keerthana@gmail.com

### ABSTRACT:

In this paper, first we prove that, let  $R$  be a prime  $*$ - ring .If  $R$  admits a generalized  $*$ - higher derivation  $f$  with an associated non zero reverse  $*$ - higher derivation  $d$  then either  $(d(x),d(z)=0)$ (or)  $f$  is a left  $*$ -multiplier. And next we prove that, let  $R$  be a prime ring, if  $R$  admits a generalized  $*$  left higher derivation associated with  $*$  left higher derivation  $d$ , then either  $(d(y),d(z)=0)$  (or)  $f$  is a right  $*$  multiplier.

**Key words:** Higher derivation, Generalized higher derivation,  $*$ - higher derivation  $*$ - generalized higher derivation, reverse  $*$ - derivation, commutator.

### Introduction:

Let  $R$  be an associative ring not necessarily with an identity element .A derivation (resp.Jordan derivation) ‘ $d$ ’ of  $R$  is an additive mapping  $d: R \rightarrow R$  Such that  $d(xy) = d(x)y + x(dy)$ , for every  $x, y \in R$  (resp.  $d(x^2) = d(x)x + xd(x)$ , for every  $x, y \in R$  ).As its is well known, every derivation is a Jordan derivation and the converse is, in general not true .If  $R$  is a 2-torsion free semi prime ring, then by the results of I.N .Herstein and M. Bresar ,every Jordan derivation of  $R$  is a derivation ((1),(2),(3).

Following B.Hvala page (4) an additive mapping  $F: R \rightarrow R$  is called a generalized derivation if there exists a derivation  $d: R \rightarrow R$  such that  $F(xy) = F(x)y + xd(y)$  holds for all  $x, y \in R$ .we call an additive mapping  $F: R \rightarrow R$  a Jordan generalized derivation if there exists a derivation  $d: R \rightarrow R$  such that  $F(x^2) = F(x)x + xd(x)$  holds for all  $x \in R$  ([1]).

On the other hand, higher derivations have been studied in many papers mainly in commutative rings, but also in non- commutative rings. M. Ferrero and C.Haetinger extended some of the above results to the higher derivations, in particular ,they pointed out that every Jordan higher derivation in a 2-torsion –free semi prime ring is a higher derivation ([6]). Thus, it is natural to ask whether every Jordan generalized higher derivation on a ring  $R$  is a generalized higher derivation.

Now we give the Corresponding definitions.

As usual,  $[x, y]$  will denote the commutator  $xy - yx$  and  $N$  is the set of natural numbers including 0.

### 1. Definitions

**Definition 1.1** Let  $D = (d_i)_{i \in N}$  is a family of additive mappings of  $R$  such that  $d_0 = id_R$ . $D$  is said to be a higher derivation if every  $n \in N$  we have  $d_n(xy) = \sum_{i+j=n} d_i(x)d_j(y)$  for all  $x, y \in R$ .

A Jordan higher derivation If for every  $n \in N$  we have  $d_n(x^2) = \sum_{i+j=n} d_i(x)d_j(x)$  for all  $x \in R$ .

**Definition 1.2:** Let  $F = (f_i)_{i \in N}$  be a family of additive mappings of  $R$  such that  $f_0 = id_R$ .  $F$  is said to be a generalized higher derivation if there exists a higher derivation  $D = (d_i)_{i \in N}$  of  $R$  such that for every  $n \in N$  we have  $f_n(xy) = \sum_{i+j=n} f_i(x)d_j(y)$  for all  $x, y \in R$ .

**Definition 1.3:** Let  $D = (d_i)_{i \in N}$  be a family of additive mappings of  $R$  such that  $d_0 = id_R$ .  $D$  is said to be higher  $*$ -derivation, if for every  $n \in N$  we have  $d(xy) = \sum_{i+j=n} d_i(x)d_j(y^*)$   $x, y \in R$ .

A Jordan higher  $*$ - derivation of  $R$ , if for each  $n \in N, d_n(x^2) = \sum_{i+j=n} d_i(x)d_j(x^*)$  for all  $x \in R$ .

A Generalized higher  $*$  derivation of  $R$ , if for each  $n \in N, f_n(xy) = \sum_{i+j=n} f_i(x)d_j(y^*)$  for all  $x, y \in R$ .

A mapping  $d : R \rightarrow R$  is called centralizer if  $[d(X), X] \in Z(R)$  for all  $x \in R$ .

**Main Results:**

**Theorem 1.4:** Let  $R$  be a prime  $*$ - ring .if  $R$  admits a generalized  $*$ - higher derivation  $f$  with an associated non-zero reverse  $*$ - higher derivation then either  $(d(x), d(z) = 0)$ (or)  $f$  is a left  $*$  multiplier.

**Proof.** We are given that  $f$  is a generalized reverse  $*$  - higher derivation with an associated non – zero reverse  $*$  - higher derivation, we have

$$f_n(xy) = \sum_{i+j=n} f_i(y)d_j(x^*) \tag{1}$$

Replace  $x$  by  $xz$  in equation (1) we have

$$\begin{aligned} f_n(xzy) &= \sum_{i+j=n} f_i(y)d_j((xz)^*)^i \\ &= \sum_{i+j=n} f_i(y)d_j(z^* x^*)^i \\ &= \sum_{i+j+l=n} f_i(y)d_j(x^*)^i d_l(z)^{i+j} \end{aligned} \tag{2}$$

On the other hand

$$\begin{aligned} f_n(xzy) &= \sum_{i+j=n} f_i(z)y d_j(x^*)^i \\ f_n(xzy) &= \sum_{i+j+l=n} f_i(y)d_l(z^*)^{l+j} d_j(x^*)^i \end{aligned} \tag{3}$$

Replacing  $x^*$  by  $x$  and  $z^*$  by  $z$ ,reordering the indices and comparing equations (2) and (3), we have

$$\sum_{i+j+l=n} f_i(y)(d_j(x), d_l(z)) = 0$$

Then either  $(d(x), d(z)) = 0$  (or)  $f$  is a left  $*$  multiplier.

**Theorem 1.5:** Let  $R$  be a prime ring, If  $R$  admits a generalized  $*$  left higher derivation  $f$  associated with  $*$  left higher derivation  $d$  then either  $R$  is  $(d(y), d(z)) = 0$  (or)  $f$  is a right  $*$ - multiplier.

**Proof.** By the definition of generalized  $*$  - higher left derivation

$$f_n(xy) = \sum_{i+j=n} d_j(y^*)^i f_i(x)$$

Replacing  $y$  by  $yz$  we have

$$f_n(xyz) = \sum_{i+j=n} d_j(yz^*)^i f_i(x)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= \sum_{i+j+l=n} d_j(z^* y^*)^i f_i(x) \\
 f_n(xyz) &= \sum_{i+j+l=n} d_j(y^*)^i d_l(z^*)^{l+j} f_i(x)
 \end{aligned} \tag{4}$$

On the other hand

$$\begin{aligned}
 f_n(xyz) &= \sum_{i+j=n} d_j(z^*)^i f_i(xy) \\
 f_n(xyz) &= \sum_{i+j+l=n} d_j(z^*)^i d_l(y^*)^{l+j} f_i(x)
 \end{aligned} \tag{5}$$

Reordering the indices of equation (4) and (5), replacing  $y^*$  by  $y$  and  $z^*$  by  $z$  and comparing the equations (4) and (5), we have

$$\sum_{i+j+l=n} [d(y), d(z)] f_i(x) = 0$$

Then either  $(d(y), d(z)) = 0$  (or)  $f$  is a right  $*$ -multiplier.

**Results 1.6:** Let  $R$  be a 2-torsion free non-commutative prime  $*$ -ring and let  $f : R \rightarrow R$  be a generalized Jordan higher  $*$ -derivation which satisfies  $\sum_{i+j=n} d_i(h) d_j(h^*)^i \in Z(R)$ , then

$$[f_n(hg + gh), y] = \sum_{i+j=n} (f_i(h) d_j(g^*)^i, y) + \sum_{i+j=n} (f_i(g) d_j(h^*)^i, y)$$

**Proof.** For any  $r \in R$

$$f_n(h^2) = \sum_{i+j=n} f_i(h) d_j(h^*)^i, \quad \text{for all } h \in H(R)$$

$$\text{Now } f_n((h+g)^2) = \sum_{i+j=n} f_i(h+g) d_j((h+g)^*)^i. \tag{6}$$

RHS of equation (6) is

$$\begin{aligned}
 \sum_{i+j=n} f_i(h+g) d_j((h+g)^*)^i &= \sum_{i+j=n} f_i(h) d_j(h^*)^i + \sum_{i+j=n} f_i(h) d_j(g^*)^i + \sum_{i+j=n} f_i(g) d_j(h^*)^i + \sum_{i+j=n} f_i(g) d_j(g^*)^i \\
 &= f_n(h^2) + f_n(g^2) + \sum_{i+j=n} f_i(h) d_j(g^*)^i + \sum_{i+j=n} f_i(g) d_j(h^*)^i.
 \end{aligned}$$

Commuting with  $y$  on both sides

$$\left( \sum_{i+j=n} f_i(h+g) d_j((h+g)^*)^i, y \right) = \left( \sum_{i+j=n} f_n(h^2), y \right) + \left( \sum_{i+j=n} f_n(g^2), y \right) + \sum_{i+j=n} (f_i(h) d_j(g^*)^i, y) + \sum_{i+j=n} (f_i(g) d_j(h^*)^i, y)$$

(7)

LHS of equation (6) is

$$\begin{aligned}
 f_n(h+g)^2 &= f_n(h^2 + g^2 + hg + gh) \\
 &= f_n(h^2) + f_n(g^2) + f_n(hg + gh)
 \end{aligned}$$

Commute with  $y$  on both sides we have

$$(f_n(h+g)^2, y) = (f_n(h^2), y) + (f_n(g^2), y) + (f_n(hg + gh), y). \tag{8}$$

Comparing equations (7) and (8)

$$f_n((hg + gy), y) = \sum_{i+j=n} (f_i(h)d_j(g^*), y) + \sum_{i+j=n} (f_i(g)d_j(h^*), y) \quad (9)$$

**Result 1.7:** Let  $R$  be a 2-torsion free non commutative prime  $*$ -ring and  $d : R \rightarrow R$  be a Jordan  $*$  higher derivation which satisfies  $\sum_{i+j=n} d_i(h)d_j(h^*) \in Z(R)$ , for all  $h \in H(R)$ , then

$$\sum_{i+j=n} d_n(hg + gh, y) = \left[ \sum_{i+j=n} d_i(h)d_j(g^*) + d_i(g)d_j(h^*) \right], y$$

**Proof:** By Jordan higher  $*$ -derivation, we have

$$d_n(h^2) = \sum_{i+j=n} d_i(h)d_j(h^*) \quad \text{for all } h \in H(R) \quad (10)$$

Replacing 'h' by (h+g) in equation (10) we get

$$d_n(h+g)^2 = \sum_{i+j=n} d_i(h+g)d_j((h+g)^*) \quad (11)$$

RHS of equation (11) is

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i+j=n} d_i(h+g)d_j((h+g)^*) &= \sum_{i+j=n} d_i(h)d_j(h^*) + \sum_{i+j=n} d_i(h)d_j(g^*) + \sum_{i+j=n} d_i(g)d_j(h^*) + \sum_{i+j=n} d_i(g)d_j(g^*) \\ \sum_{i+j=n} d_i(h+g)d_j((h+g)^*) &= d_n(h^2) + \sum_{i+j=n} d_i(h)d_j(g^*) + \sum_{i+j=n} d_i(g)d_j(h^*) + d_n(g^2) \end{aligned} \quad (12)$$

Commute with  $y$  on both sides, we have

$$\sum_{i+j=n} (d_i(h+g)d_j((h+g)^*), y) = ((d_n(h^2), y) + \left( \sum_{i+j=n} d_i(h)d_j(g^*) + \sum_{i+j=n} d_i(g)d_j(h^*) \right), y) + (d_n(g^2), y) \quad \text{LHS}$$

of equation (11) is

$$\begin{aligned} d_n(h+g)^2 &= d_n(h^2 + g^2 + hg + gh) \\ &= d_n(h^2) + d_n(g^2) + d_n(hg + gh) \end{aligned}$$

Commute with 'y' on both sides we have

$$d_n((h+g)^2, y) = d_n(h^2, y) + d_n(g^2, y) + d_n(hg + gh, y) \quad (13)$$

Comparing equations (12) and (13), we have

$$[d_n(hg + gh), y] = \left( \sum_{i+j=n} (d_i(h)d_j(g^*) + d_i(g)d_j(h^*)), y \right) \quad (14)$$

**Result: 1.8** Let  $R$  be 2-torsion free non-Commutative prime  $*$ -ring, and  $d : R \rightarrow R$  be a Jordan  $*$  higher derivation which satisfies for all  $h \in H(R)$  if  $\sum_{i+j=n} f_i(h)d_j(h^*) \in Z(R)$  then

$$(2f_n(hgh), y) = \left( \sum_{i+j=n} \sum_{j+l=n} f_i(h)d_j(g^*)d_l(h^*)^{i+j}, y \right) + \left( \sum_{i+j=n} \sum_{j+l=n} f_i(h)d_j(g^*)d_l(h^*)^{i+j}, y \right)$$

**Proof.**  $d_n(x^2) = \sum_{i+j=n} d_i(x)d_j(x^*)$  is a Jordan higher  $*$ -derivation

Replacing  $g$  by  $(hg+gh)$  in equation (9) we have

$$f_n(h(hg+gh)+(hg+gh)h, y) = \sum_{i+j=n} (f_i(h)d_j((hg+gh)^*), y) + \sum_{i+j=n} f_i((hg+gh)d_j(h^*), y) \quad (15)$$

$$f_n((h^2g+hgh+hgh+gh^2), y) = \sum_{i+j=n} (f_i(h)d_j((hg+gh)^*), y) + \sum_{i+j=n} (f_i(hg+gh)d_j(h^*), y)$$

$$f_n((h^2g+2hgh+gh^2), y) = \sum_{i+j=n} (f_i(h)d_j((hg+gh)^*), y) + \sum_{i+j=n} f_i(hg+gh)d_j(h^*), y \quad (16)$$

The RHS of equation (16) is

$$\begin{aligned} &= \sum_{i+j=n} f_i(h) \left( \sum_{l+j=n} d_j(h)d_l(g^*)^j + \sum_{l+j=n} d_j(g)d_l(h^*)^j, y \right) + \\ &\left( \sum_{i+j=n} \sum_{l+j=n} (f_i(h)d_j(g^*)^i d_l(h^*)^{i+j}, y) + \sum_{i+j=n} \sum_{l+j=n} (f_i(g)d_j(h^*)^i d_l(h^*)^{i+j}, y) \right) \\ &= \sum_{i+j=n} \sum_{j+l=n} (f_i(h)d_j(h)d_l(g^*)^{i+j}, y) + \sum_{i+j=n} \sum_{l+j=n} (f_i(h)d_j(g)d_l(h^*)^{i+j}, y) \\ &+ \sum_{i+j=n} \sum_{l+j=n} (f_i(h)d_j(g^*)^i d_l(h^*)^{i+j}, y) + \sum_{i+j=n} \sum_{l+j=n} (f_i(g)d_j(h^*)^i d_l(h^*)^{i+j}, y) \\ &= \left( \sum_{i+j=n} f_n(h^2)d_j(g^*)^{i+j}, y \right) + \sum_{i+j=n} \sum_{i+l=n} (f_i(h)d_j(g)d_l(h^*)^{i+j}, y) + \sum_{i+j=n} \sum_{i+l=n} (f_i(h)d_j(g^*)^i d_l(h^*)^{i+j}, y) + \\ &\sum_{i+j=n} f_i(g)d_n((h^2)^*)^i \end{aligned} \quad (17)$$

Now LHS of equation (16) is

$$f_n((h^2g+gh^2), y) + 2f_n(hgh, y) = \sum_{i+j=n} \left( f_i(h^2)d_j(g^*)^i + \sum_{i+j=n} f_i(g)d_j(h^2)^i, y \right) + 2(f_n(hgh), y) \quad (18)$$

By comparing equations (17) and (18) and by reordering indices, we have

$$(2f_n(hgh), y) = \left( \sum_{i+j=n} \sum_{j+l=n} f_i(h)d_j(g^*)^i d_l(h^*)^{i+j}, y \right) + \left( \sum_{i+j=n} \sum_{j+l=n} f_i(h)d_j(g^*)^i d_l(h^*)^{i+j}, y \right)$$

## References:

1. Bresar, M. Jordan derivations on semi prime rings Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 104 (4). 1003-1006 (1988).
2. Bresar, M. Jordan mappings of semi prime rings .J. Algebra 127. 218-228 (1989).
3. Herstein, I.N. Jordan derivations of prime rings .Proc Amer. Math. Soc. 8 .1104-1110 (1957)
4. Hvala, B. Generalized derivations in rings .Comm. Algebra 26 (4). 1147-1166 (1998).
5. Ashraf, M., Rehman, N.: on Jordan generalized derivations in rings. Math. J. Okayama Univ. 42. 7-9 (2000).
6. Ferrero, M. Haetinger, C. Higher derivations and theorem by Herstein. Quaestiones Mathematicae 25(2). 249-257 (2002).
7. Jacobson N.: Basic Algebra II. (Ed. W.H. Freeman and Comp., San Francisco) (1980)
8. Herstein, I.N. Topics in ring theory. (Ed. The University of Chicago Press, Chicago) (1969)
9. Bresar, M. Vukman, J. Jordan derivations on Prime rings .Bull. Austral. Math. Soc. 37. 321-322 (1988)
10. I.N. Herstein, Jordan derivations of prime rings. Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 8 (1957), 1104 – 1110.